



**IONIAN UNIVERSITY**

**LIFELONG LEARNING CENTER - IONIAN UNIVERSITY**

---

---

**EVALUATION OF THE LIFELONG LEARNING COURSE  
ENTITLED:**

“.....”

Dear Trainees, this questionnaire aims to explore and record your views on the usefulness of the Course you attended and your level of satisfaction from your participation in it, based on specific qualitative aspects. Your honest completion of this questionnaire will help the team that supported academically, scientifically, technically and administratively this training course to make any necessary improvements. Your opinion and participation are valuable.

<b>A. TRAINEE'S PROFILE</b>	
<b>SEX</b>	Male <input type="checkbox"/> Female <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>AGE GROUP</b>	< 22 <input type="checkbox"/> 22-29 <input type="checkbox"/> 30-39 <input type="checkbox"/> 40-49 <input type="checkbox"/> 50-59 <input type="checkbox"/> > 59 <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>STATUS/ SPECIALTY</b>	

<b>B. SPECIAL QUESTIONS</b>
<p><i>The aim of this evaluation form is to provide unbiased data for the specific training course of the Lifelong Learning Centre – Ionian University. Please evaluate the qualitative characteristics of the Course you attended by marking ✓ the answer of your choice based on your level of satisfaction. The following scale is the tool and the interpretation is given to facilitate its completion according to the degree of satisfaction:</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>1</b> = Not at all (some important part is missing) <b>2</b> = A little (significant improvement needed) <b>3</b> = Moderate (selective change required) <b>4</b> = Quite a bit (optional changes can be made) <b>5</b> = Very much (no change is necessary)</p>
<b>I. CONTENT OF THE LIFE LEARNING COURSE</b>

<i>α/α</i>	<b>EVALUATION AXES</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
1.	The goals of the Course were clear					
2.	The modules were appropriate					
3.	The scientific content of the Course was complete					
4.	There was relevance and complementarity between the modules and courses					
5.	There was a climate of cooperation and interaction between trainers and trainees					
6.	There was a climate of cooperation and interaction between trainees					
7.	There was an emphasis on practical applications of the subject matter					
8.	The duration of the Course was sufficient to meet my training needs					
9.	The seminar met my training needs					
10.	My expectations from my participation in the Course were met					
11.	The quality of the training work was commensurate with the amount of the fees					
12.	I would recommend other colleagues or acquaintances to attend the Course					
Remarks and comments (if any):						

<b><i>II. ORGANISATION OF THE LIFELONG LEARNING COURSE</i></b>						
<i>α/α</i>	<b>EVALUATION AXES</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
1.	The secretarial and administrative support was adequate					
2.	The venues were suitable (if used)					
3.	The distance learning platform was operational					
4.	The days and times of implementation were convenient (in the case of synchronous training)					
5.	The logistical infrastructure used was appropriate (for in-person courses)					
Remarks and comments (if any):						

<b>III. TRAINING MATERIAL</b>						
$\alpha/\alpha$	<b>EVALUATION AXES</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
1.	It was modern and updated					
2.	It was understandable and usable					
3.	It was relevant to the objectives and content of the thematic modules					
4.	I had timely and functional access to it					
5.	It was sufficient for the study and examination of the modules					
Remarks and comments (if any):						

<b>IV. TRAINERS IN TOTAL (give an average estimate if there was more than one)</b>						
$\alpha/\alpha$	<b>EVALUATION AXES</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
1.	They possessed cognitive competence					
2.	The objectives and content of the presentations met my interests and needs					
3.	They were clear and understandable in terms of content and instructions					
4.	They responded in a timely manner to what I sent them					
5.	They enhanced the dialogue by drawing on the experience of the learners					
6.	They were communicative and analytical within the time available					
7.	They were approachable and created a good working atmosphere					
Remarks and comments (if any):						

<b>Γ. PERSONALIZED EVALUATION OF THE LIFELONG LEARNING COURSE</b>						
<i>Please rate the characteristics of the Trainer you attended by marking ✓ in the answer of your choice based on your level of satisfaction, according to the following rating scale: 1= Very dissatisfied, 2= Dissatisfied, 3= Unsure, 4= Satisfied, 5= Very satisfied.</i>						
$\alpha/\alpha$	<b>TRAINERS (note the name of the trainers/lecturers you worked with)</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
1.						

